

C-Reactive Protein (CRP) High-sensitivity Test

A marker for cardiac inflammation

Introduction

The importance of factors such as age, gender, tobacco use, family history, diabetes, hypertension, and dyslipidemia in predicting risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) is well known.^{1,2} These established risk factors are largely absent in approximately half of those who develop CVD,³ and the implication of this phenomenon is significant: there is a need for further refinements to current risk assessment schemes and stratification.

Inflammation in Atherosclerosis and CRP

Basic science and extensive studies have established an extensive body of evidence to substantiate that atherosclerosis is an inflammatory process, responsive to injurious factors such as smoking, hypertension, atherogenic lipoproteins, and hyperglycemia. C-reactive protein (CRP), an acute-phase reactant, has long been recognized as an inflammatory marker and suspected of playing a role in the atherosclerotic process. Because other sources—such as systemic inflammation (eg, connective tissue diseases) and local infections (eg, gingivitis, prostatitis, bronchitis, urinary tract infections, gastric inflammation)—can also stimulate production of CRP, its role in CVD risk assessment has not been well understood.⁴

Recognizing the confusion that surrounded the clinical utility of CRP and other inflammatory markers, the Science Advisory and Coordinating Committee of the American Heart Association (AHA) and the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) examined the best available evidence for an association between inflammatory markers and CVD. The resulting Scientific Statement from the AHA/CDC Committee recognized, among other things, that CRP was an independent predictor of increased risk for CVD and an adjunct to other major risk factors.⁴ It also concluded that CRP measurement in patients at intermediate risk for CVD (estimated ten-year risk of 10% to 20% for development of coronary heart disease [CHD]), provided additional information that clinicians could use when contemplating further evaluation and therapy.⁴ In contrast, CRP measurement was not recommended for individuals considered at high risk (estimated ten-year risk for CHD >20%) or low risk (estimated ten-year risk for CHD <10%) because the former should already be targeted for aggressive therapy, and the latter would not likely have elevated CRP levels.⁴

Laboratory Analysis of CRP

Initially the prospect of using CRP as an inflammatory marker was met with resistance because existing assays lacked the sensitivity to measure low concentrations of CRP in serum reliably. Manufacturers addressed the need, however, by developing high-sensitivity CRP (hs-CRP) assays with acceptable precision down to or below 0.3 mg/L—the test levels at which hs-CRP has predictive abilities for CVD. CRP testing is now standardized and automated as well as subject to proficiency testing through a program from the College of American Pathologists.⁴

The AHA/CDC Scientific Statement also shows the standardized assay CRP reference intervals that are employed by LabCorp and appear on LabCorp result reports. The reference intervals are listed in table 1 below.⁴ To reduce inter-individual variability, measurements

Relative Risk Category	Average hs-CRP Level
Low	< 1.00 mg/L
Average	1.00 mg/L–3.00 mg/L
High	> 3.00 mg/L

of CRP should be performed on two separate specimens, two weeks apart, from metabolically stable (fasting or nonfasting) individuals. The average of the two results should be used for risk assessment. If CRP is >10 mg/L, sources of inflammation or infection should be investigated and treated before additional samples are analyzed. A result of >10 mg/L should be disregarded. Moreover, testing should not be performed for at least two weeks following resolution of the contributing acute inflammation or infection.⁴ Patients with unexplained, persistently elevated CRP levels (>10 mg/L) should be evaluated for noncardiovascular etiologies.⁴ In all cases, it's important to consider results within the context of a complete clinical history.

CRP and CVD Risk

Several national and international prospective clinical case-controlled studies⁵⁻¹⁸ identified CRP as an independent risk factor that provides incremental value to primary and secondary prevention

strategies. Key outcomes from these studies indicate that CRP

- may be useful in identifying patients most likely to benefit from aspirin therapy;⁶
- is a modifiable risk factor, suggesting that anti-inflammatory activity from HMG-CoA therapy (pravastatin) may contribute to the drug's efficacy;^{8,9}
- demonstrates positive, statistically significant correlations with other established risk factors (age, number of cigarettes smoked per day, body mass index, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides, lipoprotein(a), apolipoprotein B, tissue-type plasminogen activator antigen, homocysteine, fibrinogen, and D-dimer) and an inverse correlation with exercise frequency and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C);⁵
- was a risk factor in apparently healthy men for fatal and nonfatal MI, ischemic stroke, and peripheral artery disease (PAD) but not venous thrombosis, suggesting its value in risk assessment may be limited to the arterial system;^{6,7}
- was a risk factor in postmenopausal women for CHD death, nonfatal MI, and stroke,¹⁰ and
- increased the overall predictive value when added to other CVD risk factors and, in particular, achieved a significant improvement in risk assessment when used with total cholesterol (TC) and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol in comparison to the use of each separately.^{11,18}

Results from the large controlled trial, JUPITER (Justification for Use of statins in Prevention: an Intervention Trial Evaluating Rosuvastatin), demonstrated that there may be a strong association between lowering hs-CRP levels and risk reduction of major cardiovascular events among the patients without hyperlipidemia.¹⁹ The trial included apparently healthy individuals from diverse populations with normal LDL-cholesterol levels and hs-CRP levels of 2.0 mg/L or higher who were treated with rosuvastatin. After a median follow-up of 1.9 years, hs-CRP levels were reduced by 37% and relative risk of major cardiovascular events was reduced by 44%.^{19,20}

CRP and Primary Prevention

JUPITER study data were also the basis for a recently approved primary prevention strategy for men ≥ 50 years and women ≥ 60 years without clinically evident heart disease who have at least one additional CVD risk factor (eg, hypertension, low high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, smoking, or a family history of premature coronary artery disease) and an hsCRP value ≥ 2 mg/L. Rosuvastatin (CRESTOR[®]) is indicated in this patient population to reduce the risk of stroke and myocardial infarction as well as the need for arterial revascularization procedures.²¹

References

1. Brunzell John D, Davidson Michael, Furberg Curt D, et al. Lipoprotein Management in Patient with Cardiometabolic Risk. Consensus statement from the American Diabetes Association and the American College of Cardiology Foundation. *Diabetes Care*. Apr 2008; (31)4:811-821.
2. Executive Summary of The Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) "Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III)." National Institutes of Health May 2001.
3. Braunwald E. Shattuck Lecture—Cardiovascular medicine at the turn of the millennium: Triumphs, concerns, and opportunities. *N Engl J Med*. 1997 Nov 6; 337(19):1360-1369.
4. Pearson TA, Mensah GA, Alexander RW et al. Markers of Inflammation and Cardiovascular Disease: Application to Clinical and Public Health Practice: A Statement for Healthcare Professionals from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2003 Jan 28; 107(3):499-511.
5. Rohde LEP, Hennekens CH, Ridker PM. Survey of C-reactive protein and cardiovascular risk factors in apparently healthy men. *Am J Cardiol*. 1999 Nov 1; 84(9):1018-1022.
6. Ridker PM, Cushman M, Stampfer MJ, Tracy RP, Hennekens CH. Inflammation, aspirin, and the risk of cardiovascular disease in apparently healthy men. *N Engl J Med*. 1997 Apr 3; 336(14):973-979.
7. Ridker PM, Cushman M, Stampfer MJ, Tracy RP, Hennekens CH. Plasma concentration of C-reactive protein and risk of developing peripheral vascular disease. *Circulation*. 1998 Feb 10; 97(5):425-428.
8. Ridker PM, Rifai N, Pfeffer MA, et al. Long-term effects of pravastatin on plasma concentration of C-reactive protein. *Circulation*. 1999 Jul 20; 100(3):230-235.
9. Ridker PM, Rifai N, Pfeffer MA, et al. Inflammation, pravastatin, and the risk of coronary events after myocardial infarction in patients with average cholesterol levels. *Circulation*. 1998 Sep 1; 98(9):839-844.
10. Ridker PM, Hennekens CH, Buring JE, Rifai N. C-reactive protein and other markers of inflammation in the prediction of cardiovascular disease in women. *N Engl J Med*. 2000 Mar 23; 342(12):836-843.
11. Ridker PM, Buring JE, Shih J, et al. Prospective study of C-reactive protein and the risk of future cardiovascular events among apparently healthy women. *Circulation*. 1998 Aug 25; 98(8):731-733.
12. Kuller LH, Tracy RP, Shaten J, Meilahn EN. Relation of C-reactive protein and coronary heart disease in the MRFIT nested case-control study. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1996 Sep 15; 144(6):537-547. (Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial).
13. Tracy RP, Lemaitre RN, Psaty BM, et al. Relationship of C-reactive protein to risk of cardiovascular disease in the elderly. Results from the Cardiovascular Health Study and the Rural Health Promotion Project. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 1997 Jun; 17(6):1121-1127.
14. Koenig W, Sund M, Fröhlich M, et al. C-Reactive protein, a sensitive marker of inflammation, predicts future risk of coronary heart disease in initially healthy middle-aged men: results from the MONICA (Monitoring Trends and Determinants in Cardiovascular Disease) Augsburg Cohort Study, 1984 to 1992. *Circulation*. 1999 Jan 19; 99(2):237-242.
15. Roivainen M, Viik-Kajander M, Palosuo T, et al. Infections, inflammation, and the risk of coronary heart disease. *Circulation*. 2000 Jan 25; 101(3):252-257.
16. Mendall MA, Patel P, Ballam L, Strachan D, Northfield TC. C reactive protein and its relation to cardiovascular risk factors: A population based cross sectional study. *BMJ*. 1996 Apr 27; 312(7038):1061-1065.
17. Zebrack JS, Muhlestein JB, Horne BD, Anderson JL; Intermountain Heart Collaboration Study Group. C-reactive protein and angiographic coronary artery disease: Independent and additive predictors of risk in subjects with angina. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2002 Feb 20; 39(4):632-637.
18. Ridker PM, Glynn RJ, Hennekens CH. C-reactive protein adds to the predictive value of total and LDL cholesterol in determining risk of first myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 1998 May 26; 97(20):2007-2011.
19. Ridker PM, Danielson E, Fonseca FAH, et al. Rosuvastatin to prevent vascular events in men and women with elevated C-reactive protein. *N Engl J Med*. 2008 Nov 20; 359(21):2195-2207.
20. US Food and Drug Administration. Questions and Answers for Health Care professionals: Crestor and JUPITER trial. Available at: <http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/PostmarketDrugSafetyInformationforPatientsandProviders/ucm199891.htm>. Accessed September 10, 2010.
21. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP. CRESTOR[®] package insert. Wilmington, Del: AstraZeneca; 2010 Feb. 19850.

Relevant Assay*	
Test Name	Test Number
C-Reactive Protein (CRP), High Sensitivity (Cardiac Risk Assessment)	120766
*For the most current information regarding test options, including specimen requirements and CPT codes, please consult the online Test Menu at www.LabCorp.com .	



www.LabCorp.com